

## PERMANENT LOK ADALAT, BENGALURU

No.2, Ground Floor, Technical Education Building, Palace Road, Bengaluru-560001  
Ph: 080-22371011, Email- [plabangalore@gmail.com](mailto:plabangalore@gmail.com)

Visit Our Website: <http://kslsa.kar.nic.in/PLA>.

### DIARY

Date: 30.12.2020

Sl. No	Case Number	Summary of Proceedings	Next Date of Hearing
<b><u>BSNL- KU- BAND- JAYANAGAR</u></b>			
1	3588/2014	No representation on behalf of both the parties.  For further hearing as last and final chance.	<b>15.01.2021</b>
<b><u>ICICI BANK LIMITED- BOMMANAHALLI BRANCH</u></b>			
2	341/2015	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed Permission for issue of Notice through Paper Publication on the ground that due to Covid-19, he could not take Paper Publication. Heard. Permitted to publish Notice against the Respondents-1 to 3 as ordered earlier on or before 15.01.2021.  For reporting publication, production of copy of news paper and bill and also for appearance of the Respondents-1 to 3 as last and final chance.	<b>16.02.2021</b>
3	235/2018	1 ಮತ್ತು 2ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲ.  ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ವಕೀಲರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದು, ವೃತ್ತ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ನಕಲನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಕಡತ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದೆ. ವೃತ್ತ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಟೀಸನ್ನು ಸೂಕ್ತವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ 3ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ಜಾರಿ ಸಾಕೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದೆ. 3ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರನ್ನು ಕರೆದಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ, ಅವರು ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲ.  3ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಹಾಜರಾತಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಲಿಖಿತ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು.	<b>09.02.2021</b>
4	273/2018	1 ಮತ್ತು 2ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲ.  ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ವಕೀಲರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದು, ವೃತ್ತ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ನಕಲನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಕಡತ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದೆ. ವೃತ್ತ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಟೀಸನ್ನು ಸೂಕ್ತವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ 3ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ಜಾರಿ ಸಾಕೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದೆ. 3ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರನ್ನು ಕರೆದಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ, ಅವರು ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲ.	<b>09.02.2021</b>

		3ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಹಾಜರಾತಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಲಿಖಿತ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು.	
5	172/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed Permission for issue of Notice through Paper Publication on the ground that due to Covid-19 quarantine, he could not take Paper Publication. Heard. Permitted to publish Notice against the Respondents-1 to 3 as ordered earlier on or before 15.01.2021.  For reporting publication, production of copy of news paper and bill and also for appearance of the Respondents-1 to 3 as last and final chance.	<b>16.02.2021</b>
6	174/2019	Respondent-3 is absent.  Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed Permission for issue of Notice through Paper Publication on the ground that due to Covid-19 quarantine, he could not take Paper Publication. Heard. Permitted to publish Notice against the Respondents-1 & 2 as ordered earlier on or before 15.01.2021.  For reporting publication, production of copy of news paper and bill and also for appearance of the Respondents-1 & 2 as last and final chance.	<b>16.02.2021</b>
7	180/2019	Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed Permission for issue of Notice through Paper Publication on the ground that due to Covid-19 quarantine, he could not take Paper Publication. Heard. Permitted to publish Notice against the Respondent as ordered earlier on or before 15.01.2021.  For reporting publication, production of copy of news paper and bill and also for appearance of the Respondent as last and final chance.	<b>16.02.2021</b>
8	231/2019	1 ಮತ್ತು 2ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲ.  ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ವಕೀಲರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದು, ವೃತ್ತ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ನಕಲನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಕಡತ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದೆ. ವೃತ್ತ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಟೀಸನ್ನು ಸೂಕ್ತವಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ 3ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ನೋಟೀಸು ಜಾರಿ ಸಾಕೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಧರಿಸಿದೆ. 3ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರನ್ನು ಕರೆದಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ, ಅವರು ಹಾಜರಿಲ್ಲ.  3ನೇ ಎದುರರ್ಜಿದಾರರ ಹಾಜರಾತಿಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಲಿಖಿತ ಹೇಳಿಕೆಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು.	<b>09.02.2021</b>

9	238/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. In fact, in the petition as well as notice issued to the Respondents, the Petitioner has given proposal for amicable settlement by showing his willingness to give rebate to an extent of 5% of the Petition claim in case the Respondents come for one time settlement. Having considered the nature of dispute, it appears that the said proposal is reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are continuously absent and they are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they have not even turned up for filing Written Statement. As such, it is clear that the Respondents are not ready for amicable settlement through conciliation. Thus, it is clear that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavits and production of original documents.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>
10	241/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. In fact, in the petition as well as notice issued to the Respondents, the Petitioner has given proposal for amicable settlement by showing his willingness to give rebate to an extent of 5% of the Petition claim in case the Respondents come for one time settlement. Having considered the nature of dispute, it appears that the said proposal is reasonable. But, the Respondents-1 to 3 are continuously absent and they are not coming forward for settlement. In fact, they have not even turned up for filing Written Statement. As such, it is clear that the Respondents are not ready for amicable settlement through conciliation. Thus, it is clear that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>

		For Affidavits and production of original documents.	
11	440/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>11.02.2021</b>
12	442/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present.</p> <p>For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent.</p>	<b>11.02.2021</b>
13	443/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. In fact, in the petition as well as notice issued to the Respondent, the Petitioner has given proposal for amicable settlement by showing his willingness to give rebate to an extent of 5% of the Petition claim in case the Respondent come for one time settlement. Having considered the nature of dispute, it appears that the said proposal is reasonable. But, the Respondent is continuously absent and he has not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he has not even turned up for filing Written Statement. As such, it is clear that the Respondent is not ready for amicable settlement through conciliation. Thus, it is clear that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavits and production of original documents.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>
14	444/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. In fact, in the petition as well as notice issued to the Respondent, the Petitioner has given proposal for amicable settlement by showing his willingness to give rebate to an extent of 5% of the Petition claim in case the Respondent come for one time settlement. Having considered the nature of dispute, it appears that the said proposal is reasonable. But, the Respondent is continuously</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>

		<p>absent and he has not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he has not even turned up for filing Written Statement. As such, it is clear that the Respondent is not ready for amicable settlement through conciliation. Thus, it is clear that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavits and production of original documents.</p>	
15	445/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>11.02.2021</b>
16	447/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present. Service on the 2<sup>nd</sup> address of the Respondent is held sufficient. Called out. The Respondent is absent.</p> <p>For Appearance and Written Statement of the Respondent.</p>	<b>11.02.2021</b>
17	448/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. In fact, in the petition as well as notice issued to the Respondent, the Petitioner has given proposal for amicable settlement by showing his willingness to give rebate to an extent of 5% of the Petition claim in case the Respondent come for one time settlement. Having considered the nature of dispute, it appears that the said proposal is reasonable. But, the Respondent is continuously absent and he has not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he has not even turned up for filing Written Statement. As such, it is clear that the Respondent is not ready for amicable settlement through conciliation. Thus, it is clear that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>

		permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.  For Affidavits and production of original documents.	
18	449/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and he is ready for settlement through conciliation. In fact, in the petition as well as notice issued to the Respondent, the Petitioner has given proposal for amicable settlement by showing his willingness to give rebate to an extent of 5% of the Petition claim in case the Respondent come for one time settlement. Having considered the nature of dispute, it appears that the said proposal is reasonable. But, the Respondent is continuously absent and he has not coming forward for settlement. In fact, he has not even turned up for filing Written Statement. As such, it is clear that the Respondent is not ready for amicable settlement through conciliation. Thus, it is clear that the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavits and production of original documents.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>
19	450/2019	<p>Petitioner's Counsel is present and prayed permission to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS. Heard. Petitioner is permitted to issue fresh Notice to the Respondent through RPAD and SMS as prayed.</p> <p>For return of Notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>11.02.2021</b>
20	401/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. The Petitioner's Counsel filed Affidavit of the Petitioner and produced original documents for verification. Documents verified and returned to the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to produce Affidavit and documents. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement and they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation. They are not showing any interest in participating in the</p>	

		<p>proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, the evidence of the Respondents-1 to 3 is taken as nil.</p> <p>Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel. Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of <b>Rs.2,72,459/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Seventy Two Thousand Four Hundred and Fifty Nine Only)</b> with interest at the rate of Rs.14% p.a., from <b>21-09-2019</b> till the date of realization and also <b>Rs.2,000/-</b> towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
21	403/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. The Petitioner's Counsel filed Affidavit of the Petitioner and produced original documents for verification. Documents verified and returned to the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to produce Affidavit and documents. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement and they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation. They are not showing any interest in participating in the proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, the evidence of the Respondents-1 to 3 is taken as nil.</p> <p>Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel. Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of <b>Rs.3,44,169/- (Rupees Three Lakhs Forty Four Thousand One Hundred and Sixty Nine Only)</b> with interest at the rate of Rs.14% p.a., from <b>21-09-2019</b> till the date of realization and also <b>Rs.2,500/-</b> towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
22	404/2019	<p>The Petitioner's Counsel is present. The Petitioner's Counsel filed Affidavit of the Petitioner and produced original documents for verification.</p>	

		<p>Documents verified and returned to the Petitioner's Counsel.</p> <p>The Respondents-1 to 3 are absent. In spite of giving sufficient opportunity, the Respondents-1 to 3 did not come forward to produce Affidavit and documents. In fact, they have not even filed Written Statement and they did not come forward for settlement through Conciliation. They are not showing any interest in participating in the proceedings. No grounds for further adjournment. Hence, the evidence of the Respondents-1 to 3 is taken as nil.</p> <p>Heard arguments of the Petitioner's Counsel. Records perused. The following Judgment is passed:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>ORDER</u></b></p> <p>The Petition is allowed. The Respondents-1 to 3 shall pay the Petitioner, jointly and severally, a sum of <b>Rs.1,81,355/- (Rupees One Lakh Eighty One Thousand Three Hundred and Fifty Five Only)</b> with interest at the rate of <b>Rs.14% p.a.</b>, from <b>21-09-2019</b> till the date of realization and also <b>Rs.1,500/-</b> towards Costs of this Petition.</p>	
<b><u>INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK- SAHAKARNAGAR BRANCH</u></b>			
23	137/2017	<p>Petitioner filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent praying permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD.</p> <p>Records perused. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, SMS, Whatsapp and also Email.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
24	299/2019	<p>Petitioner filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent praying permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD.</p> <p>Records perused. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, SMS, Whatsapp and also Email.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>



		For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.	
25	300/2019	<p>Petitioner filed Memo with correct address of the Respondent praying permission for issue of fresh Notice to the Respondent to the new address through RPAD.</p> <p>Records perused. Petitioner is permitted to take fresh Notice to the Respondent by RPAD, SMS, Whatsapp and also Email.</p> <p>For return of notice of the Respondent and his appearance.</p>	<b>05.02.2021</b>
<b><u>INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK- HAROHALLI BRANCH</u></b>			
26	196/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of both the parties.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents as last and ultimate chance.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>
27	427/2019	<p>Both the parties are absent. They are not coming forward for settlement through conciliation. As such, the dispute did not settle as per Section 22-C(7) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Hence, this case is taken for decision by virtue of powers vested under Section 22-C(8) of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987. Accordingly, this case is posted for evidence. Both the parties are permitted to adduce evidence by way of Affidavit.</p> <p>For Affidavit and production of original documents.</p>	<b>09.02.2021</b>
<b><u>INDIAN OVERSEAS BANK- PEENYA BRANCH</u></b>			
28	290/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is absent and she did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	<b>11.02.2021</b>
29	291/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is absent and she did not file Written Statement.</p> <p>For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.</p>	<b>11.02.2021</b>
30	292/2019	<p>No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement.</p>	<b>11.02.2021</b>

		For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.	
31	293/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.	<b>11.02.2021</b>
32	294/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.	<b>11.02.2021</b>
33	295/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.	<b>11.02.2021</b>
34	296/2019	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.	<b>11.02.2021</b>
35	297/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. Respondent is absent and he did not file Written Statement. For appearance of both parties for the purpose of settlement through conciliation.	<b>11.02.2021</b>
36	201/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.	<b>11.02.2021</b>
37	202/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.	<b>11.02.2021</b>
38	203/2020	No representation on behalf of the Petitioner. For fresh steps with correct address of the Respondent as last and final chance.	<b>11.02.2021</b>

Prepared by: Srinath R.S. - 

Verified by: Shivaleela M.G. - 

**BY ORDER OF  
The Chairman, Permanent Lok Adalat**

  
30/12/2020  
**Sheristedar (I/c)**